1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 JOSHUA DARNELL MASON, 10 CV13-1868-BJR CASE NO. Petitioner, 11 v. ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT 12 OF HABEAS CORPUS 13 PATRICK GLEBE, 14 15 Respondent. 16 17 ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION 18 The Court, having reviewed the petition for writ of habeas corpus, the Report and 19 Recommendation of the Honorable Brian A. Tsuchida, United States Magistrate Judge, and the 20 balance of the record, does hereby find that: 21 (1) The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation; 22 (2) The Court finds that the Magistrate Judge correctly concluded in the Report and 23 24 Recommendation that Petitioner's constitutional claims should be rejected 25 without an evidentiary hearing. Petitioner's Objections to the Report and Recommendation do not satisfy the standard set forth by AEDPA for claims 1

adjudicated on the merits in state court, because they do not demonstrate that the adjudication in state court was (1) contrary to, or involved an unreasonable application of, clearly established federal law, as determined by the Supreme Court of the United States, or (2) based on an unreasonable determination of the facts in light of the evidence presented in the state court proceeding. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d). Further, Petitioner fails to demonstrate that the petition's factual allegations, if proven through an evidentiary hearing, would entitle him to federal habeas relief. *See Schriro v. Landrigan*, 550 U.S. 465, 474 (2007). Lastly, Petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of his constitutional rights under the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments, and is therefore not entitled to a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).

- (3) Accordingly, Petitioner's request for an evidentiary hearing is DENIED;
- (4) Petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED with prejudice;
- (5) Petitioner's request for a certificate of appealability is DENIED; and
- (6) The Clerk of the Court is respectfully directed to send copies of this Order to Petitioner, Respondent, and to Judge Tsuchida.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 14th day of July, 2014.

Barbara J. Rothstein

BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE